

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

THE FREECYCLE NETWORK, INC., )  
Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
TIM OEY AND JANE DOE OEY, )  
Defendants. )

---

No. CV 06-173-TUC-RCC  
**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

On May 26, 2006, Plaintiffs submitted their Motion for Reconsideration (Docket # 51).  
That motion is denied.  
A denial of a motion for reconsideration is reviewed for an abuse of discretion. *See School Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County v. AcandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1262 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993)  
The relevant standard for reconsideration comes from Rule 60(b), which "provides for reconsideration only upon a showing of (1) mistake, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence; (3) fraud; (4) void judgment; (5) a satisfied or discharged judgment; or (6) 'extraordinary circumstances' which would justify relief." *Id.* at 1263. A motion for reconsideration should not be used to ask a court to "rethink what the court had already thought through-rightly or wrongly." *Defenders of Wildlife v. Browner*, 909 F. Supp. 1342,

1 1351 (D. Ariz. 1995). Arguments that a court was in error on the issues it considered should  
2 be directed to the court of appeals. *Refrigeration Sales Co. v. Mitchell-Jackson, Inc.*, 605  
3 F.Supp. 6, 7 (N.D.Ill. 1983).

4 After a review of the relevant facts and law as well as Plaintiff's motion, the Court, in its  
5 discretion, declines to reconsider the previous ruling. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY  
6 ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (Docket # 51) is DENIED.  
7

8 DATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2006.  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14 

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  

---

Raner C. Collins  
United States District Judge